Statement of Basis Concrete Batch Plant General Permit

Permit to Construct No. P-2017.0019 Project ID 61868

> Pre-Mix Inc. NW Lewiston, Idaho

Facility ID 069-00073

Final

July 14, 2017 Dan Pitman Permit Writer

The purpose of this Statement of Basis is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01.et seq, Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho, for issuing air permits.

| FACILITY INFORMATION | 5 |
|---|------|
| Description | 5 |
| Permitting History | 5 |
| Application Scope | 5 |
| Application Chronology | 5 |
| TECHNICAL ANALYSIS | 6 |
| Emissions Units and Control Equipment | 6 |
| Emissions Inventories | 7 |
| Ambient Air Quality Impact Analyses | |
| REGULATORY ANALYSIS | |
| Attainment Designation (40 CFR 81.313) | |
| Facility Classification | |
| Permit to Construct (IDAPA 58.01.01.201) | 12 |
| Tier II Operating Permit (IDAPA 58.01.01.401) | 12 |
| Visible Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.625) | |
| Fugitive Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.650) | 12 |
| Rules for Control of Odors (IDAPA 58.01.01.775) | 12 |
| Title V Classification (IDAPA 58.01.01.300, 40 CFR Part 70) | 12 |
| PSD Classification (40 CFR 52.21) | |
| NSPS Applicability (40 CFR 60) | |
| NESHAP Applicability (40 CFR 61) | 13 |
| MACT Applicability (40 CFR 63) | |
| Permit Conditions Review | |
| PUBLIC REVIEW | 14 |
| Public Comment Opportunity | 14 |
| APPENDIX A _ FMISSIONS INVENTORIES | . 15 |

ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE

AAC acceptable ambient concentrations

AACC acceptable ambient concentrations for carcinogens

acfm actual cubic feet per minute

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

BMP best management practices

Btu British thermal units

CAA Clean Air Act

CAM Compliance Assurance Monitoring

CBP concrete batch plant

CEMS continuous emission monitoring systems

cfm cubic feet per minute

CFR Code of Federal Regulations CMS continuous monitoring systems

CO carbon monoxide CO₂ carbon dioxide

CO₂e CO₂ equivalent emissions

COMS continuous opacity monitoring systems
DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

dscf dry standard cubic feet EL screening emission levels

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

GHG greenhouse gases

gr grains (1 lb = 7,000 grains) HAP hazardous air pollutants

hr/yr hours per consecutive 12 calendar month period

IDAPA a numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in accordance with the

Idaho Administrative Procedures Act

km kilometers lb/hr pounds per hour lb/qtr pound per quarter

m meters

MACT Maximum Achievable Control Technology

MMBtu million British thermal units MMscf million standard cubic feet

NAAOS National Ambient Air Quality Standard

NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NO₂ nitrogen dioxide NO_X nitrogen oxides

NSPS New Source Performance Standards

O&M operation and maintenance

O₂ oxygen

PAH polyaromatic hydrocarbons

PERF Portable Equipment Relocation Form

PM particulate matter

 $PM_{2.5}$ particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 2.5 micrometers PM_{10} particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers

POM polycyclic organic matter

ppm parts per million

ppmw parts per million by weight

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

psig pounds per square inch gauge

PTC permit to construct

PTE potential to emit

Rules Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho

scf standard cubic feet

SCL significant contribution limits SIP State Implementation Plan

SM synthetic minor

SM80 synthetic minor facility with emissions greater than or equal to 80% of a major source threshold

 SO_2 sulfur dioxide SO_X sulfur oxides

T/day tons per calendar day

T/hr tons per hour

T/yr tons per consecutive 12 calendar month period

TAP toxic air pollutants U.S.C. United States Code

VOC volatile organic compounds

yd³ cubic yards

μg/m³ micrograms per cubic meter

2017.0019 PROJ 61868

Page 4

FACILITY INFORMATION

Description

Pre-Mix Inc. NW has proposed a new stationary truck mix concrete batch plant consisting of aggregate stockpiles, a cement storage silo, a cement supplement (fly ash) storage silo, a weigh batcher, and conveyors. The facility combines aggregate, sand, fly ash, and cement and then transfers the mixture into a truck mixer, along with water, for in-transit mixing of the concrete.

The process begins with materials being fed via front end loader to a compartment bin feeder system and then dispensed in metered proportions to a collecting conveyor. The material will pass over a scalping screen before being conveyed into the truck mixer.

Initially the Applicant has proposed concrete production rate throughput limits of 1,500 cubic yards per day and 24,000 cubic yards per year. However, on April 24, 2017 the applicant accepted an annual limit of 11,520 cubic yards per year, the daily production limit remained the same.

The Applicant has proposed that line power will be used exclusively at the facility. Therefore, no IC engines powering electrical generators were included in the application.

Permitting History

This is the initial PTC for a new facility thus there is no permitting history.

Application Scope

This is the initial PTC for the facility.

Application Chronology

April 10, 2017 DEQ received an application and processing fee.

April 11, 2017 DEQ received an application.

April 18 – May 3, 2017 DEQ provided an opportunity to request a public comment period on the

application and proposed permitting action.

May 2, 2017 DEQ determined that the application was complete.

June 29, 2017 DEQ provided the applicant with a draft permit for review.

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

Emissions Units and Control Equipment

Table 1 EMISSIONS UNIT AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

| Source | Control Equipment |
|--|---|
| Material Transfer Points: Materials handling Concrete aggregate transfers Truck unloading of aggregate Aggregate conveyor transfers Aggregate handling | Maintaining the moisture content in ¼" or smaller aggregate material at 1.5% by weight, using water sprays, using shrouds, or other emissions controls |
| | Weigh Batcher Baghouse: Manufacturer: Con-E-co Model: Lo Pro PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 95% |
| Concrete Batch Plant – Truck Mix: Manufacturer: Con-E-co Model: LO Pro 10 Manufacture Date: 2001 Max. production: 120 yd³/hr, 1,500 yd³/day, and 24,000 yd³/yr | Cement Storage Silo Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse: Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a : Spo Mac Model: 30-250 PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 95% |
| Cement Storage Silo: Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a : Spo Mac Model: 30-250 | Second Cement Storage Silo Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a :Spo Mac Model: 30-250 PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 95% |
| Second Cement Storage Silo: Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a : Spo Mac Model: 30-250 Fly Ash Storage Silo: Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a : Spo Mac Model: 30-250 | Fly Ash Storage Silo Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse: Bin Vent Filter/Baghouse Manufacturer ^a : Spo Mac Model: 30-250 PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 95% |
| | Truck Load-out: Shroud PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 75% |
| | Material Transfer Points: PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} control efficiency: 75% |

a. Both the storage silo baghouse and supplement storage silo flyash baghouse are considered process equipment and therefore there is no associated control efficiency. Controlled PM₁₀ emission factors were used when determining PTE and for modeling purposes.

Emissions Inventories

Potential to Emit

IDAPA 58.01.01 defines Potential to Emit as the maximum capacity of a facility or stationary source to emit an air pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of the facility or source to emit an air pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or on the type or amount of material combusted, stored or processed, shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation or the effect it would have on emissions is state or federally enforceable. Secondary emissions do not count in determining the potential to emit of a facility or stationary source.

Using this definition of Potential to Emit an emission inventory was developed for the concrete batch plant operations at the facility associated with this proposed project using the DEQ developed CBP EI spreadsheet (see Appendix A). Emissions estimates of criteria pollutant PTE were based on the following assumptions:

- Maximum concrete throughput does not exceed 1,500 yd³/day, and 11,520 yd³/year (per the Applicant).
- Fugitive emissions of particulate matter (PM), PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} from the concrete batch plant material transfer points were assumed to be controlled by manual water sprays, sprinklers, or spray bars, or an equivalent method that reduce PM emissions by an estimated 75%. The assumed 75% control efficiency is based on the Western Regional Air Partnership Fugitive Dust Handbook. According to the Handbook, water suppressant of material handling can range from 50-90% control. Assuming the average of 70% and including another 5% due to Best Management Practices required by the permit allow for 75% control to be a conservative estimate.
- Controlled emissions of particulate toxic air pollutants (TAPs) were estimated based on the presence of bin vent filters/baghouse controlling emissions from the cement/cement supplement silos, a baghouse controlling emissions from the weigh batcher, and 75% control for truck load-out emissions. Hexavalent chromium content was estimated at 20% of total chromium for cement, and 30% of total chromium for the cement supplement/fly ash. The hexavalent chromium percentages were taken from a University of North Dakota study, by the Energy and Environmental Research Center, Center for Air Toxic Metals. Detailed emissions calculations can be found in Appendix A of this document.
- Determining emissions from a concrete batch plant also includes transfer emissions from the number of drop points throughout the process. The PM₁₀ emissions from truck-mix loading operations are defined by an equation which includes the wind speed at each drop point and the moisture content of cement and cement supplement and a number of exponents and constants defined by AP-42 Equation 11.12-1 (6/06). An average value of wind speed and moisture content are 7 mph, 4.17%, and 1.77%, respectively¹. The following equation of particulate emissions is specific to PM₁₀. The resulting emissions were used to determine a factor to help evaluate wind speed variations in AERMOD modeling.

¹ 7 mph was the average wind speed obtained from an average of 19 Idaho airports throughout the state from 1996-2006. This data is from the Western Regional Climate Center (http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/htmlfiles/westwind.final.html#IDAHO). 4.17 % and 1.77% were the average percentages for sand and aggregate respectively. These values are based on EPA tests conducted at Cheney Enterprises. The percentages used in AP-42 are typical for most concrete batching operations.

$$E = k(0.0032) * \left[\frac{U^a}{M^b} \right] + c$$

k = particle size multiplier

a = exponent

b = exponent

c = constant

U = mean wind speed

M = moisture content

- The second transfer emissions calculations were used to determine conveyor emissions. For both coarse and fine aggregate to a conveyor. It was assumed that 82%, which for this facility is 98.4 yd³/hr (0.82 x 120 yd³/hr), of the concrete produced was aggregate. This percentage was based on 1,865 lb coarse aggregate, 1,428 lb sand, 564 lb cement/supplement and 167 lb water for a total of 4,024 lb concrete as defined by AP-42 Table 11.12-5 (06/06). The fine and coarse aggregate contributions were separated into 36% and 46% of the total concrete production². Employing emission factors from AP-42 Table 11.12-5 (6/06) for conveyor transfer and assuming 75% control efficiency as stated earlier for conveyor transfer PM₁₀ emissions were calculated for each transfer point. For both fine and coarse aggregate the facility has 2 transfer points.
- Any emissions unit outside a 1,000 ft radius from the concrete batch plant was not included in the emissions modeling analysis for this project.

Post Project Potential to Emit

Post project Potential to Emit is used to establish the change in emissions at a facility and to determine the facility's classification as a result of this project. Post project Potential to Emit includes all permit limits resulting from this project.

The following table presents the post project Potential to Emit for criteria pollutants from all emissions units at the facility as determined by DEO staff using the DEO Concrete Batch Plant EI spreadsheet. See Appendix A for a detailed presentation of the calculations of these emissions for each emissions unit.

| | PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} | SO ₂ | NO _X | СО | VOC |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | lb/hr ^(a) T/yr ^(b) |
| Weigh Batcher | 1.24E-2/2.81E-3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Silos | 1.64E-2/4.69E-3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

0.00

0.00

POST PROJECT POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR REGULATED AIR POLLUTANTS Table 2

2.86E-2/7.5E-3

Change in Potential to Emit

Post Project Totals

The change in facility-wide potential to emit is used to determine if a public comment period may be required and to determine the processing fee per IDAPA 58.01.01.225. The following table presents the facility-wide change in the potential to emit for criteria pollutants.

^{0.00} Controlled average emission rate in pounds per hour is a daily average, based on the proposed daily operating schedule and daily limits. Controlled average emission rate in tons per year is an annual average, based on the proposed annual operating schedule and annual limits.

² The percentages of coarse and fine aggregate are based on the AP-42 concrete composition. One cubic yard of concrete as defined by AP-42 is 4024 total pounds. Similarly, coarse aggregate is 1865 pounds or 46% of the total and sand (fine) aggregate is 1428 pounds or 36%.

Table 3 CHANGES IN POTENTIAL TO EMIT FOR REGULATED AIR POLLUTANTS

| Source | PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} lb/hr T/yr | SO ₂ lb/hr T/yr | NO _X lb/hr T/yr | CO lb/hr T/yr | VOC lb/hr T/yr |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Pre-Project Potential to Emit | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Post Project Potential to Emit | 2.86E-2/7.5E-3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Changes in Potential to Emit | 2.86E-2/7.5E-3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

TAP & HAP Emissions

As can be seen in the following table no toxic air pollutant (TAP) the exceeded the screening emissions level (EL) was arsenic.

Total hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions are 5.95 E-5 tons per year. The maximally emitted individual HAP is manganese at 2.51E-5 tons per year.

Table 4 TAP & HAP Emissions

| | | · | & HAP Emis | 510115 | | , | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Metals | HAP | TAP | lb/hr | T/yr | Averaging Period | EL lb/hr | EL Exceeded |
| Arsenic | X | Х | 1.18E-06 | 5.17E-06 | Annual | 1.50E-06 | No |
| Barium | | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.30E-02 | No |
| Beryllium | X | X | 2.71E-08 | 1.19E-07 | Annual | 2.80E-05 | No |
| Cadmium | X | X | 7.87E-08 | 3.45E-07 | Annual | 3.70E-06 | No |
| Cobalt | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.30E-03 | No |
| Copper | | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 1.30E-02 | No |
| Chromium | X | Х | 6.94E-05 | 4.93E-06 | 24-hour | 3.30E-02 | No |
| Manganese | X | Х | 2,75E-04 | 2.51E-05 | 24-hour | 3.33E-01 | No |
| Mercury | Х | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | N/A | No |
| Molybdenum (soluble) | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.33E-01 | No |
| Nickel | X | X | 1,23E-06 | 5.37E-06 | Annual | 2.70E-05 | No |
| Phosphorus | X | X | 2.24E-04 | 1.63E-05 | 24-hour | 7.00E-03 | No |
| Selenium | X | X | 1.17E-05 | 1.08E-06 | 24-hour | 1.30E-02 | No |
| Vanadium | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.00E-03 | No |
| Zinc | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 6.67E-01 | No |
| Chromium VI | X | X | 2.45E-07 | 1.07E-06 | Annual | 5.60E-07 | No |
| | | | 2.402 01 | | 7111144 | 3,332 3, | 110 |
| Non PAH Organic Compunds | | | 0.000.00 | 0.007.00 | 04 5 | 410 | <u> </u> |
| Pentane | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 118 | No |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 39.3 | No |
| Non-PAH HAPs | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Acetaldehyde | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 3.00E-03 | No |
| Acrolein | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 1.70E-02 | No |
| Benzene | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 8.00E-04 | No |
| 1,3 - Butadiene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.40E-05 | No |
| Ethyl Benzene | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 29 | No |
| Formaldehyde | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 5.10E-04 | No |
| Hexane | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 12 | No |
| Isooctane | X | | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Methyl Chloroform | Х | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 127 | No |
| Propionaldehyde | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 2.87E-02 | No |
| Quinone | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 2.70E-02 | No |
| Toluene | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 25 | No |
| o-Xylene | Х | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 29 | No |
| PAH HAPs | | † | | | | | |
| | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | No |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | - X | | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.50E-06 | |
| 3-Methylcholanthrene | | ^ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | N/A | 2.50E-00 | N/A |
| 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene | X | | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Acenaphthene | - | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Acenaphthylene | | X | | | | 9.10E-05 | |
| Anthracene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Benzo(e)pyrene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | 2.00E-06 | |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9,10E-05 2,00E-06 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | | |
| Chrysene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Dichlorobenzene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | 1 |
| Fluoranthene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Fluorene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Naphthalene (24-hour) | X | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.33 | |
| Naphthalene (Annual) | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Perylene | Х | | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | N/A | N/A | |
| Phenanathrene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| Pyrene | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 9.10E-05 | |
| PAH HAPs Total | X | X | 0.00E+00 | | Annual | 2.00E-06 | |
| Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM) | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | Annual | 2.00E-06 | No |

Ambient Air Quality Impact Analyses

Criteria air pollutant emissions are below DEQ's modeling thresholds and all toxic air pollutants are below the screening emissions level therefore modeling is not required.

REGULATORY ANALYSIS

Attainment Designation (40 CFR 81.313)

The facility is located in Nez Perce County, which is designated as attainment or unclassifiable for PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, CO, and Ozone. Refer to 40 CFR 81.313 for additional information.

Facility Classification

The facility classification codes are as follows:

For HAPs Only:

- A = Use when any one HAP has actual or potential emissions \geq 10 T/yr or if the aggregate of all HAPS (Total HAPs) has actual or potential emissions \geq 25 T/yr.
- SM80 = Use if a synthetic minor (potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable limitations) and the permit sets limits \geq 8 T/yr of a single HAP or \geq 20 T/yr of THAP.
- SM = Use if a synthetic minor (potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable limitations) and the potential HAP emissions are limited to < 8 T/yr of a single HAP and/or < 20 T/yr of THAP.
- B = Use when the potential to emit without permit restrictions is below the 10 and 25 T/yr major source threshold
- UNK = Class is unknown

For All Other Pollutants:

- A = Actual or potential emissions of a pollutant are $\geq 100 \text{ T/yr}$.
- SM80 = Use if a synthetic minor for the applicable pollutant (potential emissions fall below 100 T/yr if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable limitations) and potential emissions of the pollutant are ≥ 80 T/yr.
- SM = Use if a synthetic minor for the applicable pollutant (potential emissions fall below 100 T/yr if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable limitations) and potential emissions of the pollutant are < 80 T/yr.
- B = Actual and potential emissions are < 100 T/yr without permit restrictions.
- UNK = Class is unknown.

Table 2 REGULATED AIR POLLUTANT FACILITY CLASSIFICATION

| Pollutant | Uncontrolled PTE (T/yr) | Permitted PTE (T/yr) | Major Source Thresholds (T/yr) | AIRS/AFS Classification |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| PM | <100 | 2.86E-2 | 100 | В |
| PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5} | <100 | 2.86E-2/7.5E-3 | 100 | В |
| SO_2 | 0 . | 0 | 100 | В |
| NO_X | 0 | 0 | 100 | В |
| CO | 0 | 0 | 100 | В |
| VOC | 0 | 0 | 100 | В |
| HAP (single) | <10 | 2.51E-5 | 10 | В |
| HAP (Total) | <25 | 5.95E-5 | 25 | В |

Permit to Construct (IDAPA 58.01.01.201)

IDAPA 58.01.01.201

Permit to Construct Required

The permittee has requested that a PTC be issued to the facility for the proposed new emissions source. Therefore, a permit to construct is required to be issued in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.220. This permitting action was processed in accordance with the procedures of IDAPA 58.01.01.200-228.

Tier II Operating Permit (IDAPA 58.01.01.401)

IDAPA 58.01.01.401

Tier II Operating Permit

The application was submitted for a permit to construct (refer to the Permit to Construct section), and an optional Tier II operating permit has not been requested. Therefore, the procedures of IDAPA 58.01.01.400–410 were not applicable to this permitting action.

Visible Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.625)

IDAPA 58.01.01.624

Visible Emissions

The sources of PM emissions at this facility are subject to the State of Idaho visible emissions standard of 20% opacity.

Fugitive Emissions (IDAPA 58.01.01.650)

IDAPA 58.01.01.650

Rules for the Control of Fugitive Emissions

The sources of fugitive emissions at this facility are subject to the State of Idaho fugitive emissions standards.

Rules for Control of Odors (IDAPA 58.01.01.775)

IDAPA 58.01.01.750

Rules for Control of Odors

Section 776.01 states that no person shall allow, suffer, cause, or permit the emission of odorous gases, liquids, or solids into the atmosphere in such quantities as to cause air pollution.

Title V Classification (IDAPA 58.01.01.300, 40 CFR Part 70)

IDAPA 58.01.01.301

Requirement to Obtain Tier I Operating Permit

Post project facility-wide emissions from this facility do not have a potential to emit greater than 100 tons per year for all criteria pollutants or 10 tons per year for any one HAP or 25 tons per year for all HAP combined as demonstrated previously in the Emissions Inventories Section of this analysis. Therefore, the facility is not a Tier I source in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.006 and the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01.301 do not apply.

PSD Classification (40 CFR 52.21)

40 CFR 52.21

Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality

The facility is not a major stationary source as defined in 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1), nor is it undergoing any physical change at a stationary source not otherwise qualifying under paragraph 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1) as a major stationary source, that would constitute a major stationary source by itself as defined in 40 CFR 52. Therefore in accordance with 40 CFR 52.21(a)(2), PSD requirements are not applicable to this permitting action. The facility is not a designated facility as defined in 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1)(i)(a), and does not have facility-wide emissions of any criteria pollutant that exceed 250 T/yr.

NSPS Applicability (40 CFR 60)

The facility is not subject to any NSPS requirements 40 CFR Part 60.

NESHAP Applicability (40 CFR 61)

The facility is not subject to any NESHAP requirements in 40 CFR 61.

MACT Applicability (40 CFR 63)

The facility is not subject to any MACT requirements 40 CFR Part 63.

Permit Conditions Review

This section describes the permit conditions for this initial permit.

Permit condition 1.1 establishes the permit to construct purpose.

Permit condition, Table 1.1 provides and the regulated sources and the control devices used at the facility.

FACILITY-WIDE CONDITIONS

As discussed previously, permit condition 2.1 establishes that the permittee shall take all reasonable precautions to prevent fugitive particulate matter (PM) from becoming airborne and provides examples of the controls in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.650-651.

Permit condition 2.2 establishes that the concrete batch plant shall employ efficient fugitive dust controls and provides examples of the controls in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.808.01 and 808.02.

Permit condition 2.3 establishes that there are to be no emissions of odorous gases, liquids, or solids from the permit equipment into the atmosphere in such quantities that cause air pollution.

Permit condition 2.4 establishes that the permittee shall monitor fugitive dust emissions on a daily basis to demonstrate compliance with the facility-wide permit requirements.

As discussed previously, permit condition 2.5 establishes that the permittee monitor and record odor complaints to demonstrate compliance with the facility-wide permit requirements.

Permit Condition 2.6 establishes that the permittee shall maintain records as required by the Recordkeeping General Provision.

CONCRETE BATCH PLANT EQUIPMENT

Permit condition 3.1 provides a process description of the concrete production process at this facility.

Permit condition 3.2 provides a description of the control devices used on the concrete production equipment at this facility.

As discussed previously, Permit Condition 3.3 establishes a 20% opacity limit for the concrete batch plant baghouse and the boiler stacks or functionally equivalent openings associated with the concrete production operation.

Permit Condition 3.4 establishes a daily, and an annual concrete production limits for concrete production operation. The applicant is limited to its requested daily production limit of 1,500 cubic yards per day; however the annual production limit is 11,520 cubic yards per year based on toxic air pollutants being below the screening emissions levels instead of 24,000 cubic yards per year as requested in the application. The 11,520 cubic yards per year throughput was accepted by the applicant via email on April 24, 2017.

Permit condition 3.5 requires that the Applicant employ a boot or shroud to control emissions from the truck loadout operation as proposed by the Applicant.

Permit condition 3.6 requires that the Applicant employ industry specific water sprays on material transfer points to control fugitive emissions as proposed by the Applicant.

Permit condition 3.7 establishes that the Permittee monitor and record daily concrete production to demonstrate compliance with the Concrete Production Limits permit condition.

Permit condition 3.8 establishes that the Permittee shall establish procedures for operating the weigh batcher baghouse. This is a DEQ imposed standard requirement for operations using baghouses to control particulate emissions.

PUBLIC REVIEW

Public Comment Opportunity

An opportunity for public comment period on the application was provided in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.209.01.c. During this time, there were no comments on the application and there was not a request for a public comment period on DEQ's proposed action. Refer to the chronology for public comment opportunity dates.

APPENDIX A – EMISSIONS INVENTORIES

Final Concrete Batch Plant Emissions Inventory

Listed Below are the emissions estimates for the units selected.

| Company: | Pre-Mix Inc. NW |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Facility ID: | 069-00073 |
| Permit No.: | P-2017.0019 Proj. 6186 |
| Source Type: | Concrete Batch Plant |
| Manufacturer/Model: | Con-E-co/Lo Pro 10 |

| Production | |
|---|-------------|
| Maximum Hourly Production Rate: | 120 cy/hr |
| Proposed Daily Production Rate: | 1500 cy/day |
| Decreed Marriages Appeal Bandustics Bates | 44500 |

| | | | | Tons/y | ear | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|------|
| Emissions Units | | PM ₂₅ | PM ₁₀ | SO ₂ | NO _x | co | VOC | Lead | THAPs | CO₂e |
| CBP Type: | Truck Mix | 0.001 | 0.00 | NA | NA | NA . | NA | 1.14E-05 | | N/A |
| Water Heater #1: | No water heater | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | | 0 |
| Water Heater #2: | No water heater | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | | 0 |
| Small Diesel Engine(s) *: | No Engine | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA NA | | 0 |
| Large Diesel Engine *: | No Large Engine | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA NA | | 0 |
| | Transfer/Drop Points | 0.005 | 0.01 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | N/A |
| | Annual Totals (T/yr) Note: Load out emissions | | | | | | | | | |
| | were not included as they are condidered | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | "fugitive". | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14E-05 | 5.95E-05 | |
| | | | | Pounds. | nour . | | | | | |
| | • | PM ₂₅ | PM ₁₀ | SO ₂ | NO _x | co | voc | Lead | THAPs | |
| CBP Type: | Truck Mix | 0.000 | 0.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3.32E-05 | | |
| Water Heater #1: | No water heater | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Water Heater #2: | No water heater | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Small Diesel Engine(s) *: | No Engine | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA | | |
| Large Diesel Engine*: | No Large Engine | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | NA | | |
| | Transfer/Drop Points | 0.049 | 0.16 | NA NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | |
| | Daily Totals (lb/hr) Note: Load out emissions | | | | | | | | | |
| | were not included as they are considered "fugitive". | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.32E-05 | 5.83E-04 | |

* The Large engine may run :
* The Small engine(s) may run :

There is no large engine. hr/yr There is no small engine. hr/yr

HAPS & TAPS Emissions Inventory

| Metals | HAP | TAP | lb/hr | T/yr | Averaging Period | EL lb/hr | EL Exceeded? |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----------|----------|------------------|----------|--------------|
| Arsenic - | X | X | 1.18E-06 | 5.17E-06 | Annual | 1.50E-06 | No |
| Barium | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.30E-02 | No |
| Beryllium | Х | Х | 2.71E-08 | 1.19E-07 | Annual | 2.80E-05 | No |
| Cadmium | X | X | 7.87E-08 | 3.45E-07 | Annual | 3.70E-06 | No |
| Coball | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.30E-03 | No |
| Copper | | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 1.30E-02 | No |
| Chromium | X | X | 6,94E-05 | 4.93E-06 | 24-hour | 3.30E-02 | No |
| Manganese | X | X | 2.75E-04 | 2.51E-05 | 24-hour | 3.33E-01 | No |
| Mercury | X | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | N/A | No |
| Molybdenum (soluble) | | Х | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.33E-01 | No |
| Nickel | X | X | 1.23E-06 | 5.37E-06 | Annual | 2.70E-05 | No |
| Phosphorus | X | X | 2.24E-04 | 1.63E-05 | 24-hour | 7.00E-03 | No |
| Selenium | X | Х | 1.17E-05 | 1.08E-06 | 24-hour | 1.30E-02 | No |
| Vanadium | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 3.00E-03 | No |
| Zinc | | X | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 24-hour | 6.67E-01 | |
| Chromium VI | X | X | 2.45E-07 | 1.07E-06 | Annuai | 5.60E-07 | No |